TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

FISCAL YEAR 2006 SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2006- SEPTEMBER 2006)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Michigan Department of Human Services

January 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 3 |
|--|----|
| PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM | 4 |
| TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS | 4 |
| TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE | 5 |
| PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS | 6 |
| TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY | 6 |
| TABLE 4 GENDER | 7 |
| TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS | 8 |
| TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER | 9 |
| TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS | 10 |
| PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION | |
| TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS | 11 |
| TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE | 11 |
| TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN | 12 |
| TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN | 13 |
| PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS | 14 |
| TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE | 16 |
| TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS | 17 |
| PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT | 18 |
| TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT | 19 |
| TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT | 20 |

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 05-06 (i.e., April 2006 through September 2006) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- > During this six-month period, 479 new participants entered the program, with 11.1% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- > The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:

> 62.0% African American

> 5.6% Hispanic

➤ 1.0% Native American

> 28.0% White

> 3.1% Other (e.g., multi-racial)

> 0.2% Asian

- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 5.4% of the recently enrolled participants.
- ➤ The average age, at program entry (i.e., intake), of this group of participants was 17.98 years.
- > 97.5% of the participants were single.
- > 54.3% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 97.3% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- > 55.1% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 87.1% of them parenting one child, 11.0% parenting two children, 1.5% parenting three children, and one individual (0.4%) parenting five children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.2.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training/classes and school simultaneously),
 - ➤ 47.0% of the participants were enrolled in school.

- > 1.3% of the participants were GED holders.
- > 4.0% of the participants were enrolled in GED training/classes.
- > 18.8% of the participants were high school graduates.
- > 13.0% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 26.1 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$5.97.
- > 31.7% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2006 Six Month Update April 2006 - September 2006

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 05-06. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2006, namely, April 2006 through September 2006.

The new contract period, which began October 1, 2005, witnessed the addition of two new counties, as well as twelve new providers. The program currently operates via contract with twenty-three sites (23) in twenty (20) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Macomb, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Ottawa, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2006, and September 30, 2006. During this six-month period, 479 new participants entered the program.

Table 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

| NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS | | | | FY06 | FY05 | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|--------|--------------------|-------|
| | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL ¹ | TOTAL |
| Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 | 1,020 | 1,088 |

In addition to these 1,020 new cases entering the program during fiscal year 2006, there were 777 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2005, and remained open as of the start of FY05-06). Source: special data/information collection from the eleven established providers whose contracts were renewed (Fall 2005).

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 11.1% (53) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from: (a) some "other" source (see footnote, below, for details regarding "other" referral sources), which accounted for 42.8% (205) of the referrals, and (b) community agencies, which accounted for 17.1% (82) of the referrals. The remaining 29.0% (139) of the individuals were referred to the program by such sources as health care provider, community health, mental health, and schools.

Table 2 REFERRAL SOURCE

| REFERRAL SOURCE | | | | MONT | Ή | | | FY06 | FY05 |
|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| KEI EKKAE GOOKOE | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| DHS | 7 | 14 | 7 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 53 (11.1%) | 148 (14.5%) | 161 (14.8%) |
| Health Care Provider | 5 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 53 (11.1%) | 85 (8.3%) | 90 (8.3%) |
| Community Health | 4 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 51 (10.6%) | 115 (11.5%) | 122 (11.2%) |
| Community Agency | 14 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 22 | 12 | 82 (17.1%) | 184 (18.0%) | 167 (15.4%) |
| Mental Health | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.2%) | 4 (0.4%) | 4 (0.4%) |
| School | 9 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 34 (7.1%) | 113 (11.1%) | 127 (11.7%) |
| Other ² | 28 | 36 | 35 | 30 | 47 | 29 | 205 (42.8%) | 371 (36.4%) | 414 (38.2%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,085 (100.0%) |
| Missing ³ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

[&]quot;Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner/girlfriend, godmother, mentor, neighbor, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, family court, probation/parole officer), Job Corps, Families First, "United Life Styles", maternal infant outreach program, outreach peer, housing manager/complex, shelter, church, internet, vellow pages, brochure, etc.

Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2006. Accordingly, 62.0% (297) of the individuals were African American, 28.0% (134) were white, 5.6% (27) were Hispanic, 1.0% (5) was Native American, and 0.2% (1) was Asian. The "other" responses served to identify fifteen individuals (3.1%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

| RACE/ETHNICITY | | | | MONT | Н | | | FY06 | FY05 |
|------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| NACL/ETTINICITY | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| White | 15 | 21 | 23 | 22 | 30 | 23 | 134 (28.0%) | 331 (32.5%) | 298 (27.6%) |
| African American | 47 | 58 | 40 | 45 | 70 | 37 | 297 (62.0%) | 576 (56.5%) | 681 (63.0%) |
| Native American | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 (1.0%) | 11 (1.1%) | 4 (0.4%) |
| Hispanic | 2 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 27 (5.6%) | 64 (6.3%) | 69 (6.4%) |
| Asian | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.2%) | 1 (0.1%) | 1 (0.1%) |
| Other | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 15 (3.1%) | 37 (3.6%) | 28 (2.6%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) ⁴ | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,081 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

-6-

_

In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. *Table 4* presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2006. Accordingly, 94.6% (453) of the individuals were female, and 5.4% (26) were male.

*Table 4*GENDER

| | | | МО | NTH | | | FY06 | FY05 | |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| GENDER | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Female | 62 | 86 | 62 | 67 | 107 | 69 | 453 (94.6%) | 978 (95.9%) | 965 (88.7%) |
| Male | 5 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 26 (5.4%) | 42 (4.1%) | 123 (11.3%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,088 (100.0%) |

Table 5 displays the age distribution, at intake, of participants entering the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2006, with the overall average age being 17.98 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

| | | | | MONT | Н | | | EVOC | EVOE |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS (age at intake) | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | FY06 TOTAL | FY05 TOTAL |
| Twelve | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0.3%) | (0.1%) |
| Thirteen | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 (1.1%) | 8 (0.8%) | 7 (0.7%) |
| Fourteen | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 15 (3.2%) | 27 (2.7%) | 28 (2.7%) |
| Fifteen | 6 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 31 (6.6%) | 82 (8.1%) | 88 (8.5%) |
| Sixteen | 7 | 15 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 13 | 67 (14.3%) | 154 (15.3%) | 172 (16.5%) |
| Seventeen | 22 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 31 | 15 | 113 (24.1%) | 226 (22.4%) | 210 (20.2%) |
| Eighteen | 14 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 24 | 13 | 110 (23.5%) | 212 (21.1%) | 211 (20.3%) |
| Nineteen | 6 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 23 | 18 | 83 (17.7%) | 195 (19.4%) | 161 (15.5%) |
| Twenty | 5 | 13 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 2 | 44 (9.4%) | 95 (9.4%) | 125 (12.0%) |
| Twenty-one | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.2%) | 5 (0.5%) | 37 (3.6%) |
| TOTALS | 65 | 87 | 68 | 72 | 107 | 70 | 469 (100.0%) | 1,007 (100.0%) | 1,040 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 13 | 48 |

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.95 years old, and the average male participant was 18.43 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁵

| AGE BY | LATTER | SIX MONTH | IS - FISCAL Y | EAR 06 | FY06 % | FY05 % |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| GENDER | % 16 Years and Under | % 17 Years | % 18 Years and Over | Totals (N) | Total (N) | Total (N) |
| Female | 97.5 | 94.7 | 94.1 | 95.1 (446) | 96.1 (968) | 80.3 (939) |
| Male | 2.5 | 5.3 | 5.9 | 4.9 (23) | 3.9 (39) | 9.7 (101) |
| TOTALS (N) | 100.0 (118) | 100.0 (113) | 100.0 (238) | 100.0 (469) | 100.0 (1,007) | 100.0 (1,040) |

⁵For the latter six months of FY06, there were ten cases for which information about age was missing, bringing the year-to-date total to thirteen. Meanwhile, for FY05, there were forty-eight cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 97.5% (467) were single and 2.3% (11) were married. Of the fourteen individuals who were married, ten were white and one was African American. In terms of age, one was sixteen years old, two were seventeen years old, and eight were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, ten of the married participants were female and one was male. Note: the "other" category served to identify one individual who was "married according to the Muslim faith; however, the marriage is not legally recognized by the State of Michigan".

*Table 7*MARITAL STATUS

| MARITAL STATUS | | | | MONT | Н | | | FY06 | FY05 |
|----------------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| WARTINE STATES | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Single | 64 | 89 | 68 | 71 | 105 | 70 | 467 (97.5%) | 993 (97.4%) | 1,045 (97.1%) |
| Married | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 11 (2.3%) | 25 (2.5%) | 28 (2.6%) |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 (0.2%) | 2 (0.2%) | 3 (0.3%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,076 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 44.9% (215) were pregnant, 45.7% (219) were parenting, and 9.4% (45) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

| PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE | | | | MONT | Н | | | FY06 | FY05 |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| THEORY INC. IN THE CONTROL OF THE CO | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Pregnant | 27 | 34 | 33 | 28 | 58 | 35 | 215 (44.9%) | 442 (43.3%) | 507 (46.9%) |
| Parenting | 34 | 49 | 30 | 37 | 41 | 28 | 219 (45.7%) | 490 (48.0%) | 495 (45.7%) |
| Pregnant and Parenting | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 45 (9.4%) | 88 (8.6%) | 80 (7.4%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,082 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 97.3% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in *Table 8A* below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

| IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE? | | | | FY06 | FY05 | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-----|------|------|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Yes | 32 | 40 | 39 | 35 | 66 | 51 | 253 (97.3%) | 509 (96.0%) | 548 (94.2%) |
| No | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 (2.7%) | 21 (4.0%) | 34 (5.8%) |
| TOTALS | 33 | 41 | 40 | 35 | 68 | 43 | 260 (100.0%) | 530 (100.0%) | 582 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 81.6% (248) were one year or younger, 10.5% (32) were two years old, 4.6% (14) were three years old, 2.6% (8) were four years old, and 0.7% (2) was five years old or older.

According to *Table 8B*, 87.6% (191) of those parenting had one child, 10.1% (22) had two children, 1.8% (4) had three children, and 0.5% (1) had five children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

| OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER | | | | MONT | Н | | | FY06 | FY05 |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| OF CHILDREN: | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| One | 27 | 41 | 27 | 33 | 37 | 26 | 191 (87.6%) | 424 (86.9%) | 400 (84.7%) |
| Two | 5 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 22 (10.1%) | 56 (11.5%) | 67 (14.2%) |
| Three | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 (1.8%) | 7 (1.4%) | 4 (0.8%) |
| Four | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0.2%) |
| Five | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (0.5%) | (0.2%) | 0 |
| TOTALS | 33 | 49 | 30 | 37 | 41 | 28 | 218 (100.0%) | 488 (100.0%) | 472 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 23 |

Similarly, *Table 8C* reveals that 84.4% (38) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, and 15.6% (7) had two children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

| IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT | | | | MONT | Н | | | EVOC | TVOE |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN: | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | FY06 TOTAL | FY05 TOTAL |
| One | 6 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 38 (84.4%) | 70 (79.5%) | 63 (81.8%) |
| Two | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 7 (15.6%) | 17 (19.3%) | 11 (14.3%) |
| Three | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 (1.1%) | 3 (3.9%) |
| TOTALS | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 45 (100.0%) | 88 (100.0%) | 77 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.2.

A. School

The 225 individuals (47.0%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- ➤ Eleven individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training/classes.
- Sixteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- One individual had a GED.
- Twenty-two teens were working and going to school.
- > On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 9.9.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.25 years, with 41.8% being sixteen years old or younger, 28.6% being seventeen years old, and 29.5% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 94.7% (213) of those enrolled were females, representing 47.0% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 5.3% (12) of those enrolled were males, representing 46.2% of males in the program.

The 254 individuals (53.0%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Seventy-four teens had a high school diploma.
- Five participants had a GED certificate.
- > Eight individuals were in GED training/classes.
- Forty teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.5.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.62 years, with 10.4% being sixteen years old or younger, 20.1% being seventeen years old, and 69.5% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 94.5% (240) of those not enrolled were females, representing 53.0% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 5.5% (13) of those not enrolled were males, representing 56.8% of males in the program.

B. GED Training/Classes

Of the nineteen individuals in GED training/classes, eleven were also in school and one was working. In terms of age, 5.9% were sixteen years old, 11.8% were seventeen years old, and 82.4% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Six individuals were identified as having a GED certificate, one of who was continuing their education.

D. High School Diploma

The ninety individuals who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty-seven teens were working.

The 389 individuals who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 209 teens were enrolled in school.
- Nineteen teens were in GED training/classes (including eleven who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Six teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Thirty-five individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 152 individuals, or 31.7% of those who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2006, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training/classes, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed. In terms of age, 15.5% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 27.7% were seventeen years old, and 56.8% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

| PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE | MONTH | | | | | | FY06 TOTAL | FY05 TOTAL | |
|--|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| A. Was the participant in school at intake? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | TOTAL | TOTAL |
| Yes | 31 | 34 | 35 | 30 | 52 | 43 | 225 (47.0%) | 513 (50.3%) | 530 (51.0%) |
| No | 36 | 56 | 35 | 42 | 57 | 28 | 254 (53.0%) | 507 (49.7%) | 509 (49.0%) |
| TOTALS (Missing) | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,039 (49) (100.0%) |
| B. Was the participant in GED training/classes? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | 06 Total | 05 Total |
| Yes | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 19 (4.0%) | 67 (6.6%) | 55 (5.3%) |
| No | 64 | 85 | 66 | 71 | 107 | 67 | 460 (96.0%) | 953 (93.4%) | 984 (94.7%) |
| TOTALS (Missing) | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,039 (49) (100.0%) |
| C. Did the participant have a GED? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | 06 Total | 05 Total |
| Yes | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 (1.3%) | 21 (2.1%) | 13 (1.3%) |
| No | 67 | 90 | 69 | 70 | 107 | 70 | 473 (98.7%) | 999 (97.9%) | 1,025 (98.7%) |
| TOTALS (Missing) | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,038 (50) (100.0%) |
| D. Did the participant have a hs diploma? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | 06 Total | 05 Total |
| Yes | 9 | 17 | 13 | 14 | 25 | 12 | 90 (18.8%) | 169 (16.6%) | 155 (14.9%) |
| No | 58 | 73 | 57 | 58 | 84 | 59 | 389 (81.2%) | 851 (83.4%) | 883 (85.1%) |
| TOTALS (Missing) | 67 | 90 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 479 (100.0%) | 1,020 (100.0%) | 1,038 (50) (100.0%) |

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 13.0% (62) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 87.0% (415) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

| WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE? | | MONTH | | | | | | | TVOE |
|--|----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | FY06 TOTAL | FY05 TOTAL |
| Yes | 8 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 10 | 9 | 62 (13.0%) | 127 (12.5%) | 144 (13.9%) |
| No | 59 | 78 | 59 | 59 | 99 | 61 | 415 (87.0%) | 891 (87.5%) | 889 (86.1%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 90 | 70 | 71 | 109 | 70 | 477 (100.0%) | 1,018 (100.0%) | 1.033 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 55 |

For the sixty-two teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 26.1 and the average hourly wage was \$5.97. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.54 years. Furthermore,

- Fifty-nine (95.2%) of those employed were females, representing 13.0% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, three (4.8%) of those employed were male, representing 11.5% of the males entering the program.
- > Twenty-seven individuals had a high school diploma (three of who were also continuing their education).
- Two teens were in GED training/classes (both of whom were also enrolled in school).
- > Twenty-two individuals were enrolled in school (three of who had a diploma, and two of who were also in GED training/classes).
- Sixteen teens were working, but were not in school or GED training/classes, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 415 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- > Of the teens not working, 202 were enrolled in school (including nine who were also in GED training/classes, one who had a GED, and thirteen who had a high school diploma).
- Seventeen teens were in GED training/classes (nine of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Sixty-three individuals had a high school diploma (thirteen of who were also continuing their education).
- Five teens had a GED certificate, including one who was also in school.

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 53.1% of the individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of FY06 resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 11.3% living with other relative(s), and 9.2% living independently. The remaining 26.4% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 77.1% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 66.1% of those aged seventeen and 36.1% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents at intake.

- All totaled, 92.4% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 83.9% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with adult friend, living with spouse and parents, living with neighbor, living in shelter (e.g., Hannah's House, pregnant and parenting teen shelter, women's shelter), living in transitional living program, residing at Job Corps, transient (e.g., moves from place to place), etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

| WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING | | MONTH | | | | | | | FY05 |
|--|-----|-------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|
| ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE? | APR | MAY | JUN | JUL | AUG | SEP | TOTALS | FY06 TOTAL | TOTAL |
| w/Parents | 42 | 42 | 36 | 43 | 54 | 37 | 254 (53.1%) | 527 (51.7%) | 562 (53.0%) |
| w/Guardian | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 12 (2.5%) | 36 (3.5%) | 34 (3.2%) |
| w/Other relative | 5 | 13 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 7 | 54 (11.3%) | 108 (10.6%) | 131 (12.4%) |
| w/Partner | 3 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 29 (6.1%) | 68 (6.7%) | 78 (7.4%) |
| w/Spouse | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 (1.3%) | 9 (0.9%) | 13 (1.2%) |
| Formal placement | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 (1.3%) | 18 (1.8%) | 24 (2.3%) |
| Independently | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 44 (9.2%) | 99 (9.7%) | 84 (7.9%) |
| Homeless | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 12 (2.5%) | 37 (3.6%) | 18 (1.7%) |
| w/Partner (in partner's family's home) | 2 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 8 | 30 (6.3%) | 61 (6.0%) | 66 (6.2%) |
| Other | 5 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 31 (6.5%) | 56 (5.6%) | 50 (4.7%) |
| TOTALS | 67 | 89 | 70 | 72 | 109 | 71 | 478 (100.0%) | 1,019 (100.0%) | 1060 (100.0%) |
| Missing | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 28 |

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁶

| AGE BY LIVING | | FY06 | FY05 | | | |
|--|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|
| ARRANGEMENT | % 16 Years and Under | % 17 Years | % 18 Years and Over | Total % (N) | TOTAL % (N) | TOTAL % (N) |
| w/Parents | 77.1 | 66.1 | 36.1 | 53.6 (251) | 51.9 (522) | 53.9 (550) |
| w/Guardian | 5.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 2.4 (11) | 3.5 (35) | 3.3 (34) |
| w/Other relative | 5.9 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 11.3 (53) | 10.6 (107) | 12.2 (125) |
| w/Partner | 0.0 | 2.7 | 10.1 | 5.8 (27) | 6.6 (66) | 7.1 (73) |
| w/Spouse | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 1.3 (6) | 0.9 (9) | 1.2 (12) |
| Formal placement | 3.4 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.3 (6) | 1.7 (17) | 2.4 (24) |
| Independently | 0.8 | 1.8 | 16.8 | 9.2 (43) | 9.7 (98) | 7.9 (81) |
| Homeless | 0.8 | 1.8 | 3.8 | 2.6 (12) | 3.7 (37) | 1.8 (18) |
| w/Partner (in partner's family's home) | 5.1 | 5.4 | 7.1 | 6.2 (29) | 6.0 (60) | 6.1 (62) |
| Other | 0.8 | 4.5 | 10.1 | 6.4 (30) | 5.5 (55) | 4.1 (42) |
| TOTALS (N) | 100.0 (118) | 100.0 (112) | 100.0 (238) | 100.0 (468) | 100.0 (1,006) | 100.0 (1,021) |

_

For the latter six months of fiscal year 2005-2006, there were eleven individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the year-to-date total of such missing cases to fourteen. NOTE: For FY 04-05, there were sixty-seven individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.